Infection control during GI endoscopy

This is one of a series of statements discussing the use of GI endoscopy in common clinical situations. The Standards of Practice Committee of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) prepared this text. In preparing this guideline, a search of the medical literature was performed by using PubMed, supplemented by accessing the “related articles” feature of PubMed. Additional references were obtained from the bibliographies of the identified articles and from recommendations of expert consultants. When little or no data exist from well-designed prospective trials, emphasis is given to results from large series and reports from recognized experts. Guidelines for appropriate use of endoscopy are based on a critical review of the available data and expert consensus at the time the guidelines are drafted. Further controlled clinical studies may be needed to clarify aspects of this guideline. This guideline may be revised as necessary to account for changes in technology, new data, or other aspects of clinical practice. The recommendations were based on reviewed studies and were graded on the strength of the supporting evidence (Table 1).

This guideline is intended to be an educational device to provide information that may assist endoscopists in providing care to patients. This guideline is not a rule and should not be construed as establishing a legal standard of care or as encouraging, advocating, requiring, or discouraging any particular treatment. Clinical decisions in any particular case involve a complex analysis of the patient’s condition and available courses of action. Therefore, clinical considerations may lead an endoscopist to take a course of action that varies from these guidelines.

BACKGROUND

Despite the large number and variety of GI endoscopic procedures performed, documented instances of infectious complications remain rare, with an estimated frequency of 1 in 1.8 million procedures.1 Endoscopy-related infection may occur under the following circumstances: (1) microorganisms may be spread from patient to patient by contaminated equipment (exogenous infections), (2) microorganisms may spread from the GI tract through the bloodstream during an endoscopy to susceptible organs or prostheses, or may spread to adjacent tissues that are breached as a result of the endoscopic procedure (endogenous infections), or (3) microorganisms may be transmitted from patients to endoscopy personnel and perhaps from endoscopy personnel to patients.

The purpose of this document is to disseminate information and promote understanding, which leads to the prevention of infection as a result of a GI endoscopy. Circumstances in which an endoscopy-related infection might occur are discussed, as are measures to prevent such infection, including endoscope reprocessing, antibiotic prophylaxis, and protection of endoscopy personnel.

PATIENT TO PATIENT TRANSMISSION OF MICROORGANISMS (EXOGENOUS INFECTIONS)

Over the course of an endoscopic examination, the external surface and internal channels of flexible endoscopes are exposed to body fluids and contaminants. Disinfection of these reusable instruments poses special problems. Given their relatively delicate structure, these instruments cannot be autoclaved. Therefore, processing is achieved by mechanical cleaning, followed by high-level disinfection (HLD), rinsing, and drying. Stringent guidelines for the reprocessing of flexible endoscopes were developed by the ASGE and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America, who convened with representatives from physician, nursing, and infection control organizations, industry leaders, and federal and state agencies. This conference resulted in the publication, in 2003, of the multisociety guideline for reprocessing of flexible GI endoscopes.2 Since that time, there have been no reported cases of transmission of infection when these HLD guidelines were followed. In the absence of defective equipment, all subsequent reported cases of transmission of infection resulted from failure to adhere to these guidelines.

TRANSMISSION OF MICROORGANISMS BY ENDOSCOPY

The potential for transmission of infection during a GI endoscopy is a matter of concern to both physicians and patients. Fortunately, such transmission is very rare.
Spach et al. reviewed the literature between 1966 and 1992 and were able to document 281 reported cases of transmission of microorganisms by GI endoscopy. The vast majority of these cases occurred before the adoption of the initial 1988 guidelines, which stressed the need for thorough manual cleaning of endoscopes before disinfection. Only 28 cases of endoscopic transmission of infection were reported between 1988 and 1992, with an estimated 40 million GI endoscopies performed over the same period. The transmission rate of infection by a GI endoscopy, therefore, was estimated to be 1 in 1.8 million. It has been argued that this figure may be an underestimate, because of a combination of underreporting, unrecognized asymptomatic infections, or an unrecognized association of infections with prior endoscopy, where the incubation period of the infecting organism is very long. Equally, it has been argued that, with the adoption of the stringent 2003 multisociety guidelines, the transmission rate of infection by an endoscopy may now be considerably lower. Most reported cases predate these guidelines.

A total of 84 cases of endoscopy-related transmission of Salmonella species between patients was reported between 1974 and 1987 but none since that time. Forty-five cases of endoscopic transmission of Pseudomonas species were reported between 1974 and 1993. In addition to inadequate reprocessing of the endoscope itself, the bacterium’s propensity for growth in moisture-rich conditions has frequently been a factor that has facilitated transmission. In some instances, an unsterilized irrigation water bottle attached to the endoscope was identified as a source of infection. A lack of cleaning and drying of the air-water and/or the elevator channels of duodenoscopes was also implicated in some cases of transmission of Pseudomonas infection. Also, several cases were related to flawed automated endoscope washer-dryers.

A few reports of endoscopic transmission of Helicobacter pylori were related to inadequate reprocessing of endoscopes and biopsy forceps. Up to 61% of endoscopes became contaminated after use in patients infected with H pylori, but conventional cleaning and
disinfection of the instruments is highly effective in eliminating *H pylori*. Isolated reports of endoscopic transmission of other enteric bacteria included *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Serratia*, *Staphylococcus* before adequate reprocessing by currently accepted standards.

There were no reports of transmission of mycobacteria by a GI endoscopy. Current reprocessing guidelines were shown to be adequate in eradicating mycobacteria. Similarly, current reprocessing guidelines were shown to inactivate *Clostridium difficile* spores, and no cases of transmission of this infection were reported.

**Chronic viral infections**

Documentation of transmission of viral infections by endoscopy is more difficult, because these infections have a longer incubation period, and patients may be asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic. Thus, linking transmission of these infections to a procedure done in the past may be difficult.

**Hepatitis C.** There are rare reports of transmission of hepatitis C in situations where lapses in HLD of endoscopes occurred. Bronowicki et al documented transmission of hepatitis C from an infected patient to 2 subsequent patients who underwent colonoscopic procedures with the same instrument. Transmission was attributed to 2 breaches in endoscope reprocessing: failure to mechanically clean the working channel of the endoscope before disinfection and failure to sterilize the biopsy forceps between patients. However, inadequate aseptic techniques practiced at this center also raise the possibility of transmission of the virus via contaminated IV tubing, syringes, or multidose vials rather than the colonoscope. Older case reports and epidemiologic studies suggested an association between endoscopy and hepatitis C virus (HCV) seropositivity. However, these are difficult to interpret because of a reliance on self-reporting of risk factors for HCV and other inherent biases. Also, in many instances, the “link” between an endoscopy and an infection may not be an improperly processed endoscope, but rather, inadequate aseptic techniques.

Two recent studies indicate that, when currently accepted reprocessing guidelines are followed, transmission of hepatitis C does not occur. In a multicenter prospective cohort study, 8260 patients who were HCV seronegative and undergoing an endoscopy were observed. All centers reported adherence to internationally accepted guidelines for cleaning and disinfection of endoscopes. All 8260 patients, including 912 patients who underwent an endoscopy with an instrument previously used on HCV carriers, remained seronegative at follow-up testing performed 6 months after their endoscopic procedure. Four seroconversions occurred over the study period in a control group of 38,280 blood donors, which indicated a background seroconversion rate of 0.042 per 1000 patient-years.

Similar results were reported in a prospective cohort study of 859 patients, with a prevalence of hepatitis C of 71%. Endoscopes were cleaned and disinfected by using guidelines published by the ASGE and the Society of Gastroenterology Nurses and Associates (SGNA). Of 149 patients who were seronegative and for whom follow-up serology could be obtained, 4 subsequently developed antibodies to HCV. Two were found to have had HCV RNA in blood samples obtained before an endoscopy, which indicated that they were infected before undergoing endoscopy. Of the remaining 2 patients who developed anti-HCV antibodies after an endoscopy, neither had HCV RNA detected on follow-up testing at 3 and 6 months after the procedure, which suggested false-positive serologic tests. Thus, endoscopy did not result in transmission of hepatitis C, despite the extremely high exposure risk in this cohort.

Together, these studies indicate that, when currently accepted guidelines for cleaning and disinfection of endoscopes are followed, transmission of hepatitis C through endoscopes does not occur or is very rare.

**Hepatitis B.** A handful of isolated case reports suggested that transmission of hepatitis B is possible when endoscopes are inadequately reprocessed. However, transmission of hepatitis B appears to be very rare, even with inadequate cleaning and disinfection, and there are no reported cases of transmission when accepted guidelines were followed.

In 5 prospective studies, 120 patients who had undergone an endoscopy with an instrument previously used in a patient infected with hepatitis B were followed. No patients who were hepatitis B virus (HBV) seronegative developed clinical or serologic evidence of hepatitis B over a 6-month follow-up. In 4 further prospective studies, a total of 722 patients who were HBV seronegative were observed for up to 12 months after an endoscopy. The background prevalence rates of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) positivity in these populations were up to 9.6%. Despite minimal disinfection of the endoscopes between procedures, only 3 patients seroconverted. None of the seroconversions were thought to be related to the endoscopy, because none of these patients had undergone an endoscopy with an instrument previously used on a patient who was infected. In addition, the seroconversion rate was lower than that for a control population not undergoing an endoscopy. In a recent prospective cohort study from a center in which ASGE reprocessing guidelines were followed, none of 30 patients who were seronegative and were undergoing an endoscopy with instruments previously used in patients who were HBsAg positive subsequently seroconverted.

These data, taken as a whole, indicate that, when currently accepted guidelines for cleaning and disinfection of endoscopes are followed, transmission of hepatitis B does not occur or is very rare after endoscopic procedures.

**HIV.** There are no reports of transmission of HIV by endoscopy. Manual cleaning of the endoscope with
detergent eradicates >99.0% of the virus from the instrument, and subsequent disinfection with glutaraldehyde for as little as 2 minutes was shown to eliminate the virus from endoscopes.45-47

Miscellaneous microbial transmission

Parasites. A single report documented transmission of Strongyloides to 4 patients from a contaminated instrument.49 There are no other reports of transmission of parasites by endoscopy.

Fungi. There are no documented cases of transmission of fungal infections by GI endoscopy.

Prions. Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease (CJD) is a neurologic disease that is transmitted by proteinaceous agents called prions. GI endoscopy does not result in contact of the endoscope or accessories with prion-infected tissues, and, therefore, there is no theoretical need for any special processing of endoscopes used on patients with CJD.49 There are no reports of transmission of CJD by endoscopy.

Variant Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease (vCJD) is a related condition caused by the consumption of beef contaminated by the bovine spongiform encephalopathy agent. Approximately 125 cases have been reported worldwide, with a single case reported in the United States. vCJD differs from CJD in that the mutated prion protein can be found in lymphoid tissue throughout the body, including the tonsils and the gut. The mutated prions are resistant to conventional disinfectants and sterilants. The European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE), therefore, recommends that an endoscopy be avoided, if at all possible, in patients with known vCJD.50 When an endoscopy is unavoidable, the ESGE suggests use of an instrument dedicated for patients with vCJD or use of an endoscope that is approaching the end of its useful life and that can be destroyed after use or quarantined until needed for other patients with vCJD. Given the absence of any further reported cases of vCJD in the United States, no changes to general reprocessing guidelines are warranted at this time. However, following ESGE guidelines is reasonable if a case of vCJD is detected and an endoscopy is necessary.

REPROCESSING OF ENDOSCOPES

The single best protection against patient-to-patient transmission of microorganisms by an endoscopy is stringent reprocessing of endoscopes after use, with careful adherence to the multisociety guidelines. This section defines and discusses key concepts in endoscope reprocessing.

DEFINITIONS

Cleaning: This is defined as the physical removal of organic material and/or soil, usually by using water with detergents. This process is designed to remove microorganisms rather than to kill them.51

Disinfection: Disinfection accomplishes the killing of most microorganisms and is commonly performed by using liquid chemical germicides (LCG). Three levels of disinfection are achievable: high, intermediate, and low, depending on the amount and kind of microbial killing involved. HLD destroys vegetative microorganisms, mycobacteria, fungi, small or nonlipid viruses, medium or lipid viruses, but not necessarily large numbers of bacterial spores. Chemical germicides registered as “sterilants” may be used for sterilization or for HLD, depending on such factors as dilution, contact time, and frequency of reuse. The specifics of such factors may vary with each product and are included on approved labeling.51

Sterilization: Sterilization is the act of killing all microbial life, including the elimination of bacterial spores. It is most commonly achieved with heat or ethylene oxide gas.51 The Spaulding classification system allows categorization of medical devices based on the risk of infection involved with use. The categories of medical devices and their associated level of disinfection are as follows:

- Critical use items: Items that enter sterile tissue or vascular spaces and hence carry significant risk for infection if contaminated. These items include needles, surgical instruments, biopsy forceps, and urinary catheters. Processing for reuse of these items requires sterilization. In some instances, GI endoscopes are sterilized when intended for use in sterile environments.
- Semicritical use items: Items that come in contact with mucous membranes and do not ordinarily penetrate sterile tissue. These include thermometers, endoscopes, and anesthesia equipment. Processing for reuse of these items requires at least HLD.
- Noncritical items: Items that do not ordinarily touch the patient or touch only intact skin, such as stethoscopes or patient carts. These items may be cleaned by low-level disinfection.

ENDOSCOPE REPROCESSING

Endoscope reprocessing is a multistage process that includes the following steps.

Manual cleaning

The first and most important step in the prevention of transmission of infection by an endoscopy is manual cleaning of the endoscope with detergent solution and brushes.52,53 Manual cleansing minimizes the chances of bacterial biofilm developing within the endoscope channels. The efficacy of cleaning and disinfection is personnel dependent, hence, training and quality control are critical for reliable infection control. Manufacturers’ recommendations should be adhered to for each type of endoscope. The multisociety guideline for reprocessing flexible
endoscopes provides detailed instructions about both the specific steps and the rationale for endoscope cleaning and disinfection. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved new labeling for an automatic endoscope reprocessor (AER) in 2006 as a “washer-disinfector,” for processing endoscopes without prior manual washing and channel brushing. However, at this time, there are no independent confirmatory data regarding the efficacy of this machine.

HLD

HLD is the standard of care recommended by governmental agencies and all pertinent professional organizations for the processing of flexible GI endoscopes. HLD is operationally defined by the FDA as a 6-log reduction of mycobacteria. HLD may be achievable by using a variety of FDA-approved LCG solutions with a manual process or an automated process with an AER. Costs can be significantly influenced by selection between manual and automated systems and by the type of LCG used. Most LCGs are reusable. However, serial dilutions that occur during repeated use necessitate regular testing of the LCG to ensure the adequacy of germicidal concentrations. It is important to adopt quality-control methods to ensure an adequate performance of the HLD system used.

The regulation of LCGs has been under the purview of the FDA since 1993. Confusion about the differences between recent labeling changes and usual and standard practices for disinfection duration, concentration, and temperature requirements of LCG products led to the publication of the multisociety Position Statement on Reprocessing of Flexible Gastrointestinal Endoscopes found in the ASGE Policy and Procedure Manual. This document underscores the importance of (1) reliable and consistent mechanical cleaning as the first step in endoscope reprocessing, (2) adherence to written manufacturers’ reprocessing protocols and national standards, and (3) training and quality assurance programs for endoscope reprocessing.

There is evidence that Mycobacterium tuberculosis, HBV, HCV, and HIV are readily inactivated by commonly used cleansing methods and appropriate (ie, HLD/sterilant) LCGs. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) states that currently recommended sterilization and disinfection procedures are adequate for endoscopes contaminated with pathogens, including HIV. Thus, by following GI endoscopic procedures on patients with transmissible infections, the instrument should be cleaned and reprocessed in the usual fashion. Dedicated instruments are not necessary for patients infected with transmissible diseases other than known vCJD. Patients with severe neutropenia, immune deficiency syndromes, and those patients who receive immunosuppressive agents may be at increased risk for local or systemic infection as a result of endoscopy-related translocation of gut organisms to deeper tissues or to the bloodstream. Endoscopes used in these patients should undergo standard reprocessing with HLD.

Sterilization

Sterilization of endoscopes and accessories is indicated in the rare occasions when they are to be used as “critical” medical devices, where there is a potential for contamination of an open surgical field. Sterilization can be achieved by using a variety of methods, including ethylene oxide gas treatment, and may also be achieved with appropriately long exposure to LCGs. Because of the complexity of the instrument channel design, endoscope sterilization is difficult to accomplish. Endoscope sterilization, as opposed to HLD, is not required for a standard GI endoscopy in any patient subgroup or setting. To date, there have been no demonstrable benefits to the further reduction in endoscope bacterial spore counts achieved by sterilization instead of HLD. However, reusable biopsies, forceps, snares, sphincterotomes, and other accessories designed to breach the GI mucosal surface all require sterilization. Similarly, water bottles should also be disinfected or sterilized, and sterile water should be used in the water bottle.

Rinsing, drying, and storage

A critical part of the cleaning and disinfecting process involves proper rinsing and drying of the endoscope channels. Rinsing requires flushing large volumes of water through all channels to accomplish complete evacuation of LCGs. Endoscopes that were sterilized with ethylene oxide must have the channels purged by flushing them with room air. Water used for rinsing endoscopes after HLD varies in different institutions and is either potable tap water, bacteria-free water, or “sterile” or “sterile filtered” water. However, none of these water types are necessarily free of bacteria, despite their label claims, and the potential for contamination of disinfected endoscopes and, therefore, for nosocomial infection exists. Microbiologic monitoring of rinse water is not recommended by the CDC, although this remains a controversial issue, with some countries encouraging the practice.

Thorough drying of the endoscope after rinsing minimizes the risk associated with the presence of microorganisms in the rinse water. It prevents proliferation of microorganisms during storage, because residual rinse water that remains in endoscope channels may provide an environment for the microorganisms to colonize and multiply. After rinsing with water, a 70% alcohol flush promotes drying and inhibits the growth of organisms in stored instruments. After the instruments are dried, they should be stored in an upright hanging position as per manufacturers’ recommendations. Once endoscopes are placed in storage, there are few data as to how long they may remain unused before reprocessing is required.
Two studies indicate that once endoscopes are appropriately reprocessed, dried, and stored, it may not be necessary to reprocess them if used within 5 to 7 days.\(^79,80\) If an endoscope is appropriately cleaned, disinfected, dried, and stored in accordance with current guidelines, there is no evidence that an additional reprocessing cycle immediately before use at the beginning of the day (so-called “first-use reprocessing”) is necessary.

The efficacy of manual cleaning and HLD is personnel dependent. Reprocessing failure incidents typically arise as a result of human error, or because of equipment (AER) or product (HLD) failure.\(^81\) Therefore, quality assurance, process validation, assignment of personnel responsible for endoscope reprocessing, and extensive training of the reprocessing personnel cannot be overemphasized.

**ENDOGENOUS INFECTIONS**

Bacterial translocation of endogenous microbial flora into the bloodstream may occur during an endoscopy because of mucosal trauma related to the procedure. The risk of bacteremia and, theoretically, the risk of subsequent localization of infection in tissues vary, depending on the procedure performed and on the susceptibility of tissues. Low mean rates of bacteremia are seen with a diagnostic EGD (4.1%) and colonoscopy (4.4%), with the highest mean rates of bacteremia noted with esophageal variceal sclerotherapy (14.6%) and esophageal dilation (22.8%).\(^82\) It is unclear if this typically brief bacteremia is clinically significant, and, furthermore, it is unclear whether antibiotic prophylaxis, if instituted, will prevent distant infections such as infectious endocarditis (IE).\(^83\)

An endoscopy may also result in local infections when a typically sterile space, such as the bile duct or a pancreatic cyst, is breached and contaminated by an endoscopic accessory or contrast injection. Similarly, breaching of the anterior abdominal wall during placement of a percutaneous gastrostomy tube is associated with the risk of peritoneal infection.

**ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS FOR GI ENDOSCOPIC PROCEDURES**

The purpose of antibiotic prophylaxis during a GI endoscopy is to reduce the risk of significant endogenous infectious complications. Antibiotic prophylaxis against endoscopically induced local or systemic infections were previously discussed in detail in a guideline published by the ASGE, *Antibiotic Prophylaxis for GI Endoscopy*.\(^84\)

The American Heart Association recently revised their guidelines for prophylaxis of IE,\(^85\) which depart significantly from the 1997 guidelines. For endoscopic practice, a landmark change is that administration of prophylactic antibiotics solely to prevent IE is not recommended for patients who undergo GI-tract procedures. The ASGE has also updated its recommendations for antibiotic prophylaxis for GI endoscopy.\(^85\)

**TRANSMISSION OF INFECTION FROM ENDOSCOPY PERSONNEL TO PATIENTS**

The risk for transmission of serious infection from hospital personnel to patients is small and task dependent. An endoscopy may be considered a low-risk task for such transmission, given the lack of direct contact between the endoscopist and patients’ tissues. The CDC and U.S. Public Health Service provided guidance about the assessment of procedure risk and management of privileges in those who are potentially infectious.\(^86,87\) Although there are several documented cases of transmission of infection from health care workers to patients, there are no documented cases of transmission of infection from endoscopy personnel to patients.

**GENERAL INFECTION CONTROL**

Endoscopy personnel may facilitate transmission of infection from patient to patient if they fail to carefully adhere to general infection control principles. In particular, appropriate aseptic techniques and safe injection practices should be followed. Thus, improper reuse of syringes and the use of contaminated multiple dose drug vials have been linked to the transmission of hepatitis B and C between consecutive patients treated at health care facilities.\(^88-90\) Such practices should be avoided, and single-use drug vials are recommended. Similarly, use of gloves by health care workers was shown to decrease the incidence of *Clostridium difficile* associated diarrhea and the point prevalence of asymptomatic *C difficile* carriage in inpatients.\(^91\)

**TRANSMISSION OF INFECTION FROM PATIENTS TO ENDOSCOPY PERSONNEL**

There are several reports of documented transmission of infection from patients to health care personnel. Potential modes of transmission may include needlestick injury,\(^92,93\) blood splashes to the conjunctiva,\(^94\) inhalation of aerosolized microorganisms,\(^95\) and transfer from direct handling of patients. Studies demonstrated a higher prevalence of *H pylori* infection in endoscopy personnel,\(^96-99\) with an increased prevalence with increased years of practice. Appropriate use of personal protective equipment should minimize such infection risks.

**PROTECTION OF PERSONNEL**

The Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) of 1991, updated in 2001, established guidelines for health
Precautions in the endoscopy suite

Precautions at the institutional level

Standard precautions

Standard precautions are a major thrust of OSHA regulations. Endoscopy personnel should be made aware of the dangers of blood and other body fluids, contaminated equipment, and the modes of disease transmission. Because a patient’s infectious status is often unknown at the time of an endoscopy, it is prudent to apply standard precautions for blood and body fluids when interacting with all patients.

Precautions at the institutional level

OSHA mandates that all employees should be immunized against HBV, although the risk of HBV infection to endoscopy personnel is small. A variety of other measures are needed for optimal infection control among employees, both before and during the period of employment. In particular, an effective and readily accessible employee health service plays a critical role in the management of postexposure prophylaxis.

Precautions in the endoscopy suite

After the endoscopic procedure, exposed surfaces should be thoroughly cleaned of visible contaminants and then disinfected with an Environmental Protection Agency registered hospital disinfectant. Hands should be washed before and after each patient interaction, irrespective of whether or not gloves are worn. Isolation precautions that are otherwise indicated in patients who are potentially infected should be maintained when patients are transported to endoscopy units. For some patients, convenience or isolation requirements may require performance of an endoscopy at the bedside, rather than in the endoscopy unit. Needles should be discarded in “sharps” containers without recapping to avoid inadvertent sticks. Endoscopy units and institutions should adopt needleless systems for administration of parenteral drugs whenever feasible. Endoscopy unit infection control policies should address procedure room work areas, the separation of soiled and clean tasks, and the handling of specimens, tissues, soiled linens, and contaminated wastes. The physical design of the endoscopy unit and rooms significantly influences whether these infection control issues can be adequately and efficiently addressed.

Prevention of transmission of C. difficile should be considered when performing endoscopy in patients with diarrhea or known C. difficile carriage. Hand washing with soap and water rather than alcohol-based hand rubs should be used for mechanical removal of spores from hands. Rigorous cleaning of the endoscopy suite with a bleach-containing disinfectant (5000 ppm) for environmental disinfection is effective in killing the organism.

Personal protective equipment

Personal protective equipment is defined as specialized clothing or equipment that does not permit blood or other potentially infectious material to pass through clothes or into skin, eyes, or mouth when worn by an employee for protection against a hazard. OSHA requires that employers provide all generally available protective attire, that they instruct employees in their use, and that they ensure their use by the employee. The technology assessment committee of the ASGE provided a thorough discussion of personal protective equipment, their rationale, and the applicable regulations about their use. Although there are no endoscopy-specific mandates, institution-wide policies must define appropriate protective wear for the reasonably anticipated exposure of a given task. Gowns, gloves, masks, and eyewear should be worn in all settings where contact with blood-borne pathogens or other potentially infectious materials might be anticipated. Special precautions are required for patients with active pulmonary tuberculosis.

Management of exposure of endoscopy personnel to infectious agents

In the event of inadvertent exposure of endoscopy personnel to potentially infectious agents, institutional guidelines should be followed. OSHA and the U.S. Public Health Service published recommendations for postexposure management.

SUMMARY

- Transmission of infection as a result of GI endoscopes is extremely rare, and recently reported cases are invariably attributable to lapses in currently accepted endoscope reprocessing protocols or to defective equipment. (Level 1C+)
- Endoscopes should undergo HLD as recommended by governmental agencies and all pertinent professional organizations for the reprocessing of GI endoscopes. (Level 1C+)
- Extensive training of staff involved in endoscopic reprocessing is mandatory for quality assurance and for effective infection control. (Level 1C)
- General infection control principles should be adhered to at the endoscopy unit. (Level 1C+)
• Transmission of infection from patients to endoscopy personnel can be avoided by application of standard precautions. (Level 1C+)

Abbreviations: AER, automatic endoscope reprocessor; ASGE, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; CDC, Centers for Disease Control; CJD, Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease; ESAG, European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; FDA, U.S. Food and Drug Administration; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HLD, high-level disinfection; IE, infectious endocarditis; LCG, liquid chemical germicide; OSHA, Occupational Safety and Health Act; SGNA, Society of Gastroenterology Nurses and Associates; vCJD, variant Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease.
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